SPECIAL MEETING

BEFORE THE

CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION

AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

HEARING ROOM A

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 NINTH STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 25, 2001 10:00 a.m.

Reported By: Valorie Phillips Contract No. 150-99-002

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

William J. Keese, Chairman

Michal C. Moore

Robert Pernell

Arthur Rosenfeld

STAFF PRESENT

Steve Larson, Executive Director

Bill Chamberlain, Chief Counsel

Kent Smith, Chief Deputy Director

Kevin Kennedy

Tom Glaviano

Beverly Duffy

PUBLIC ADVISOR

Marija Krapcevich, Associate Public Advisor

iii

INDEX

Pa	age
Proceedings	1
Item 3	1
Items 4 and 5	4
Moshen Nazemi South Coast Air Quality Management District	:12
Malcolm C. Weiss Jeffer, Mangels, Butler & Marmaro LLP	24
Public Comment	
Eric Christen Coalition for Fair Employment in Construction	28
Items 4 and 5 - Resumed	
Malcolm C. Weiss Jeffer, Mangels, Butler & Marmaro LLP	30
Adjournment	44
Certificate of Reporter	45

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN KEESE: I will call this
3	meeting of the Energy Commission to order.
4	Commissioner Rosenfeld, would you lead
5	us in the Pledge, please.
6	(Thereupon the Pledge of Allegiance
7	was recited in unison.)
8	CHAIRMAN KEESE: We're going to take up
9	Item 3 first. Peak Demand Reduction Program.
10	Possible approval of Peak Demand Reduction Program
11	grants as funding becomes available through AB
12	970, SB 5X and 29X.
13	COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Mr. Chairman.
14	Mr. Chairman, these the items we're
15	proposing to approve is the last two grants for
16	demand responsive systems and commercial buildings
17	under AB 970. We have Beverly Duffy, which will
18	answer any questions and briefly describe the
19	item.
20	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. Good
21	morning.
22	MS. DUFFY: Good morning. The
23	essentially, there are two applications, one for
24	Sun Microsystems, which is to install demand
25	responsive eight track and lighting equipment.

```
1 The other one is for Hewlett Packard and Company,
```

- 2 and they are also installing the same equipment.
- 3 And the megawatt savings are -- let's see, on
- 4 Hewlett Packard, 1.1 megawatts, and on Sun, 1.2
- 5 megawatts.
- 6 CHAIRMAN KEESE: And how much is -- what
- 7 are we talking about, how much money?
- 8 MS. DUFFY: For Sun Microsystems, it's
- 9 306,500. For Hewlett Packard, it's 422,800.
- 10 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you.
- Do we have any questions on the
- 12 Commission?
- 13 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Mr. Chairman, I
- would move the Item Number 3, Staff
- 15 recommendations, Peak Demand Reduction Program.
- 16 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Second.
- 17 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Motion, Commissioner
- 18 Pernell. Second, Commissioner Rosenfeld.
- 19 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Can I, before we
- 20 vote on the motion, Mr. Chairman, can I just ask
- one question on the --
- 22 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Certainly.
- 23 COMMISSIONER MOORE: -- 5X, 29X money.
- What's the actual source of that money? I mean,
- 25 is that -- is that the future bond issue that

```
hasn't been issued yet?
```

- MS. DUFFY: No. As I understand it, the
- 3 oversubscribed funds come out of the HVAC funding
- 4 that's already allowed in 5X. It's General Fund.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MOORE: So 5X is -- is
- 6 General Fund money, 29X is bond money. Are they
- 7 fungible? They -- they are fungible. All right.
- 8 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: But this is 5X
- 9 money, anyway.
- 10 COMMISSIONER MOORE: But this -- but in
- any case, this is 5X money that we're talking
- about, and that's -- that's General Fund. Is
- there any General Fund left, by the way? I
- 14 realize that's intemperate and rude of me to say
- that, but -- billions. Well, that's -- that's
- 16 comforting.
- 17 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay. We have a motion
- 18 and a second.
- 19 All in favor.
- 20 (Ayes.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Opposed.
- 22 Approved, four to nothing.
- Item 4 is off the agenda.
- 24 COMMISSIONER MOORE: When will that come
- 25 back?

```
2
        May 2nd.
                   COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Yes, one week.
 3
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: One week.
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: And -- and the
         reason for -- for pulling it was --
                   COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Well, the reason
         is we don't have the -- we don't have all of the
         contract for the munis. And that's -- this is
         where these funds are going. We expect to have
10
         that by the end of the week, or early next week.
11
12
         We had a meeting on it yesterday, and it's just
13
         not ready. But we expect to get it out next week.
```

CHAIRMAN KEESE: That will be back on

14 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Okay. Thank you.

15 Mr. Chairman, I know that you'll move to
16 Items 1 and 2 next. Let me let you introduce
17 them, and then I have some comments.

18 CHAIRMAN KEESE: We'll next take up Item
19 1, Alliance Drews Energy Facility. Consideration
20 and possible adoption of a Commissioner's Proposed
21 Decision on the Alliance Drews Energy Facility, a
22 40 megawatt power plant, applied for under the
23 Energy Commission's Emergency Siting Process,
24 Governor's Executive Orders, by Alliance Colton

25 LLC.

1

1	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Mr. Chairman, by
2	way of introduction, I chaired the Committee, was
3	the Committee, I guess, on this on this matter
4	for both Alliance Drews and Alliance Century.
5	We conducted the hearings on the same
6	day, and the reason I asked for this to be
7	deferred as long as possible in this hearing is
8	that there are quite literally a number of changes
9	that are being put in to the Proposed Decision,
10	and I expected them to be downstairs here by now.
11	But let me just say that we, I believe,
12	are evolving along with the program, in terms of
13	writing this, and trying to stay within the limits
14	of the Governor's 21-day constraint, and comply
15	with that, but at the same time, trying to manage
16	some of the other agency input. And trying to
17	make sure that the language is consistent not only
18	between the applications, but also in terms of the
19	law, is not easy.
20	And I'm going to ask you to simply bear
21	with us as we go through this today. You have a
22	Proposed Decision from me on both cases in front
23	of you, and what I'm going to do is try and use
24	some riposte between the Staff and the Air
25	District and this dais in order to try and create

```
some additional language to put into the record,
1
2
        and then ask the Commission to authorize me to
```

- make those changes, sign the decision, and get it 3
- out today. But you won't have final text in front
- of you until after the -- the board meeting is
- closed.
- So with that, let me ask Mr. Kennedy if
- he would introduce -- can we go to Century first?
- 9 That's Item 2. Item 2 is Alliance Century -- both
- cases are very similar. They're not identical, 10
- but they are similar, and they are within the same 11
- 12 municipal area. So, if I could ask Mr. Kennedy --
- 13 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Commissioner Moore, are
- 14 we -- are we taking up both at the same time, or
- 15
- COMMISSIONER MOORE: Well, I'm going to 16
- 17 ask you to --
- CHAIRMAN KEESE: I've announced one. 18
- 19 COMMISSIONER MOORE: I'm sorry. I
- 20 should've asked you to announce Item 2. Why don't
- we take them up at the same time, both 1 and 2, 21
- 22 and Mr. Kennedy can talk to both.
- 23 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay. Then I will --
- 24 we will also take up Item 2, Alliance Century
- Energy Facility. Consideration and possible 25

```
1 adoption of a Commissioner's Proposed Decision on
```

- 2 the Alliance Century Energy Facility, a 40
- 3 megawatt power plant, applied for under the Energy
- 4 Commission's Emergency Siting Process, Governor's
- 5 Executive Orders, for construction in the City of
- 6 Colton.
- We'll take up Items 1 and 2 together.
- 8 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Thank you.
- 9 Mr. Kennedy.
- 10 MR. KENNEDY: My name is Kevin Kennedy,
- and I was the Staff Project Manager for both the
- 12 Alliance Drews and Alliance Century projects in
- the City of Colton.
- 14 As Commissioner Moore noted, these
- 15 projects were both considered under the Governor's
- 16 Emergency Orders as Emergency Projects. And Staff
- 17 completed Staff Assessments for both projects at
- 18 the end of last week.
- 19 Based on the information in the Staff
- 20 Assessments, Commissioner Moore published a
- 21 Proposed Decision on Monday, which Staff had some
- 22 additional comments on at the end of the day
- yesterday, which I believe were distributed to all
- of the Commissioners at -- at the close of the day
- 25 yesterday.

1	These projects are both approximately 40
2	megawatts in size. Both are planned for
3	substations within that are owned by the City
4	of Colton. And both projects would initially be
5	operating under an Order of Abatement from the
6	South Coast Air Quality Management District. The
7	initial operation would not be meeting the 5 ppm
8	for NOx BACT level, but would be meeting an
9	interim level of 25 parts per million for NOx.
10	And the South Coast Air District
11	yesterday approved an Order of Abatement allowing
12	that initial operation, and requiring the
13	Applicant to come in compliance with the permit,
14	which does require BACT. I'm not sure the exact
15	timing of that, but we do have a representative
16	from South Coast, but I believe it's by the end of
17	the year they will be required to be at the 5 ppm
18	level for NOx, and at the other levels for BACT.
19	The comments that Staff had submitted or
20	the Proposed Decision included revisions to some
21	of the language in the Proposed Decision to
22	reflect that initial operation under the Order of
23	Abatement.
24	A second concern in the Staff's comments
25	included provisions dealing with the need for

```
1 permanent offsets.
```

25

2	This project is initially proposing to
3	use some of the temporary offsets from the state
4	bank that have been made available under the
5	emergency provisions. And this project will
6	actually is anticipating to operate under South
7	Coast's RECLAIM program, which is a program in
8	which the offsets need to be identified and
9	secured on a regular basis, as opposed to
10	initially securing permanent offsets that would be
11	good for the life of the project.
12	So Staff's comments also reflect the
13	need to make sure that the language is consistent
1 4	with the potential use of the RECLAIM credits.
15	Other Staff comments on the Proposed
16	Decision have to do with some minor points of
17	clarification in terms of possible small changes
18	in the stack height, and other other small
19	issues that just required a little bit of
20	clarification, in terms of the Proposed Decision.
21	Overall, Staff, in the Staff Assessment,
22	has recommended approval of both of these projects
23	following the Conditions of Certification included

in the Staff Assessment, and Staff further

supports the approval of the project under the

```
Proposed Decision, as it may be amended to reflect

Staff comments on -- on these two projects.
```

COMMISSIONER MOORE: Mr. Chairman, just
to add to what Mr. Kennedy has just said. We
received comments from Staff, we received comments
from our Staff attorney and the Chief Counsel's
office, and we also received comments from South
Coast that clarified the original intent and
language of the decision, the Proposed Decision.

I want to amplify a couple of things that are in there, and which you all may want to consider in the future cases that you're conducting. And that first point concerns RECLAIM credits.

The issue of whether or not there is a sufficient bank of credits, especially the newer kind that have to be renewed annually, is going to raise its head again and again in front of this Commission, and my suggestion is that we take this question on in concert with South Coast especially, because they're the biggest district, and try and understand it so that we've got a -- a more comprehensive format for dealing with the question of how to establish what credits are real, when they extinguish themselves, and when

```
new credits either can be purchased or created by
the local district and come online.
```

The second point has to do with the

natural gas supply. I informed all of you that I

was going to use this forum to begin collecting

data in lieu of an OIR, or an OII, on gas

supplies, and try and create a databank, as it

were, to talk about the source and reliability of

the gas supply, especially in the southern

California area.

Just didn't get enough information, given the time that we had to process the case. And so I will be suggesting a format for asking questions about gas supply that I will submit to each one of you, and ask you if you wouldn't mind including it in your cases as they're processed. In a -- you can make the case that these are not exactly germane to these cases, but instead, provide a supplemental platform of information. But frankly, we are going to need this information the longer we go, and the further we push the question of how many gas-fired plants we can have in California.

So, although I failed to accomplish it in this case, my intent is to expand that line of

```
1 questioning in the next set of cases.
```

- With your indulgence, Mr. Chairman, I'd

 like to ask the representative from South Coast a
- 4 couple of questions for the record, just to
- 5 clarify the BACT standard on NOx, if I can. And
- 6 maybe he could just step to the -- to the podium
- 7 for a second.
- In the decision, we have indicated, and
- 9 I realize that you -- none of you have the revised
- 10 decision because it literally is -- is being typed
- as we speak, to accommodate the points that Mr.
- 12 Kennedy was just making.
- But maybe you can identify yourself for
- 14 the record.
- 15 MR. NAZEMI: I am Moshen Nazemi. The
- 16 last name is N-a-z-e-m-i. I'm Assistant Deputy
- 17 Executive Officer for South Coast Air Quality
- 18 Management District.
- 19 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Mr. Nazemi, we
- 20 indicated in my decision that this project would
- 21 come -- both projects would come on in the
- 22 neighborhood of 25 ppm NOx, at the start, and that
- 23 they would ramp down to 5 parts per million over
- 24 time.
- 25 Can you clarify that in context with the

```
permit that they'll be getting, and the standards

in South Coast? Because I know every one of the

Commissioners has been working with the idea that

we would be permitting plants that were operating

at 5 ppm, and so I -- I need some help clarifying
```

- 6 why we're recommending certification, with your
- 7 blessing, at 25, and then ramping down.
- 8 MR. NAZEMI: Okay. Maybe I can take a
 9 step back and explain what South Coast has done in
 10 this case.
- Alliance Power has proposed the two
 projects that based on the time factor, would
 initially be operating at levels above Best
 Available Control Technology levels, until the
 time where the controls are being installed and
 operating at BACT levels.
- Best Available Control Technology, or
 BACT, for simple cycle gas turbines in our
 district, is set at 5 parts per million for NOx,
 and 6 parts per million for carbon monoxide, or
 CO.
- In this case, we, as the permitting
 agency in this case, we have delegated authority
 from the USEPA to issue the Title 5 permits for
 both these projects, and since they are both going

```
to be Title 5 facilities we have the role of issuing those permits for beginning of the construction.
```

And under our permits, we actually cannot give a waiver from BACT requirements under the Federal Clean Air Act, and under our own rules. As a result, the permits that have been granted, or will be granted -- actually we're in the public notice and comment period as we speak, and it should end by the end of April -- will actually require compliance with 5 parts per million NOx and 6 parts per million CO.

However, in order for the Alliance to be able to begin construction and actually begin operation, what we agreed to do is to jointly apply a petition to the hearing board, which is --actually, Kevin mentioned South Coast has granted, and it's actually a different entity, although they have their offices in our office, in our building. It's a quasi-judicial entity.

And what we had planned to do was to obtain a stipulated Order of Abatement, which would be granted by this hearing board to allow the facility to operate while -- with their turbines while they're air pollution control

equipment is being acquired and installed on the operation.

The petition was heard yesterday at the hearing board, and the hearing board members voted unanimously to approve the stipulated Order of Abatement. And therefore, upon closure of the comment period, which is scheduled for the end of this month, South Coast will be in a position to grant the -- if there are no adverse comments that we need to address, we will be able to grant the permits to construct for this project, and the project then would be able to operate.

And I guess, I'm sorry, I may not have answered your question exactly. The reason we are taking this approach is that in order to bring these peaking plants online for this summer, it's practical to bring these units on and start them running, but it's not practical in term of the timelines to have all the controls installed in a timely fashion to -- to start operation for this summer.

So as part of the Executive Orders that the Governor has issued, and the work that we have been doing with your agency, the Air Resources

Board, the ISO and other districts, and USEPA, we

```
1 have agreed to take this approach to allow these
```

- 2 units to still come online to help the energy
- 3 crisis, but at the same time make sure that the
- 4 controls are installed at the earliest feasible
- 5 time.
- 6 CHAIRMAN KEESE: May I -- the goal is to
- 7 be online by August 1st.
- MR. NAZEMI: That's correct.
- 9 CHAIRMAN KEESE: And when is the target
- 10 to have the controls, the -- it'll be necessary to
- 11 shut down the units while you put the controls on?
- 12 MR. NAZEMI: It would be necessary to
- shut down each unit in order to install the
- 14 controls.
- 15 CHAIRMAN KEESE: And we wouldn't want to
- 16 do that for some period of time. So what -- what
- is the target for control?
- MR. NAZEMI: The target is that all
- eight units at two facilities must have their air
- 20 pollution control systems in place to meet BACT
- requirements by December 15th of 2001.
- 22 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you.
- MR. NAZEMI: No later than that date.
- 24 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Mr. Nazemi, before
- you leave the podium, can I ask you to comment on

the availability of the RECLAIM credits and their ability to be renewed annually. How confident is

3 South Coast that those credits are out there and

4 will continue to be available?

MR. NAZEMI: RECLAIM program is

different, compared to all the other sitings that

you will probably see for -- for the rest of the

projects in other parts of the -- of California,

in that RECLAIM credits, that we refer to as RTCs,

RECLAIM Trading Credits, are not issued for the

life of the project. They're issued annually.

And these credits last -- there are two cycles.

They're either a calendar year cycle, or a fiscal

year cycle. But they're issued for each year.

There are streams of credits available through the year 2015, or beyond, for the -- for projects that operate beyond that date. The requirements, however, in our rules, are that any new project, and I guess I'm -- I'm sure you guys all have familiarity with RECLAIM program, but RECLAIM program started in '94, and it applied to some 380 existing facilities. But there are also provisions in the program that any new facility that has emissions of greater than four tons both of NOx or SOx, will be in this program.

1	So the provisions for new facilities is
2	that before they can start the operation, they
3	have to have at least one year, first year of
4	operating credits in hand in order to start up.
5	After the first year, the requirements are that
6	they have secured adequate offsets to cover
7	emissions for each compliance period. And we have
8	quarterly compliance and annual compliance. So
9	beyond the first year, the requirements are that
10	they obtain credits either at the close of the
11	quarter to cover their quarter's emissions, or in
12	addition to at the end of the year.
13	COMMISSIONER MOORE: And you're
14	confident that there are enough credits out there
15	that can be acquired that these can keep running.
16	MR. NAZEMI: There are adequate credits
17	out there in the market that you can purchase for
18	future years of operation. There is, however,
19	some concern with credits for year 2001 that power
20	plants had used up quite a bit of those, and
21	that's why our agency, actually on May 11th, is
22	voting on amendments to RECLAIM to pull those
23	power plants out of the RECLAIM program
24	temporarily, to allow the remaining facilities,
25	such as the new power plants, to be able to

```
1 participate in the free market.
```

- COMMISSIONER MOORE: Mr. Chairman, the
 reason that this is important to get on the record
 is that for all the cases that are still coming
 up, the -- especially those in southern
 California, the change in the South Coast policy
 may affect those decisions, and I want to make
 sure that every member is aware of that change
 that's coming up.
- 10 So, thank you.
- 11 MR. NAZEMI: Commissioner Moore, one
 12 last thing. At this point, the Alliance Power had
 13 indicated to us that they are intending to use the
 14 ARB established bank of ERCs for the initial
 15 operation, and upon the use and expiration of
 16 those leased credits, then they will switch over
 17 to RECLAIM and use RTCs.
- 18 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Okay. Thank you 19 very much.
- I don't know whether other members have questions. Thank you.
- Mr. Chairman, let me just indicate that
 that stipulated Order of Abatement is included as
 a condition in the Proposed Decision, and with
 your permission, I'd like to make a motion, a

```
1 separate motion for each case, and to get it on
```

- 2 the floor for debate. And I'd like to --
- 3 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay. And --
- 4 COMMISSIONER MOORE: And I --
- 5 CHAIRMAN KEESE: -- to make the motion,
- and then deal with the amendments?
- 7 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Well, I'm going to
- 8 -- I'm going to include the -- this is a little
- 9 awkward, and I'm going to lean on the Chief
- 10 Counsel to keep me from -- from stepping in a
- 11 legal quagmire here.
- 12 But I would move the Proposed Decision
- on Alliance Drews pursuant to Executive Orders D-
- 26-01 and D-28-01, with amendments that are
- 15 included in the Staff comments, and included in
- 16 the comments from the Chief Counsel's office. And
- those are proposed to be added by the end of
- 18 today, calendar April 25. And I'm going to ask
- 19 the members, if -- if this motion gets a second, I
- 20 will ask the members to allow me to make those
- changes, and I'll make sure that every office has
- a copy before the close of business today.
- 23 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Motion by Commissioner
- Moore.
- 25 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Second.

```
1
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: Second by Commissioner
 2
         Rosenfeld.
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: I don't know
 3
         whether anyone's here to speak on the items at
         all.
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: Mr. Chamberlain, are we
                   CHIEF COUNSEL CHAMBERLAIN: Well --
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: -- is it clear enough
         that we can take a vote on this yet, or do we --
10
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: Would you like me
11
12
         to read the changes into the record?
13
                   CHIEF COUNSEL CHAMBERLAIN: How -- how
14
         many are there?
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: About 40.
15
                   (Laughter.)
16
17
                   CHIEF COUNSEL CHAMBERLAIN: Are they
         available, is the only question. I mean, you
18
19
         don't have to read them into the record, but --
20
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: They are all
         available, and, in fact, the -- the revised
21
22
         decision, I have a single copy of the Revised
23
         Proposed Decision for Alliance Century, and if we
24
         are, in fact, going to go to Executive Session,
```

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

25

the amended copy of Alliance Drews will be

```
1
         available by the end of Executive Session.
                   CHIEF COUNSEL CHAMBERLAIN: Well, maybe
 2
         that would be the right thing to do, is to
 3
         postpone the vote until you have the actual
         document in front of you. I understand you also
         have a natural gas briefing, or something, and so
         perhaps -- perhaps you should come back at 11:30,
         or whenever the --
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: Commissioner Moore --
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: I accept. I
10
         withdraw the motion.
11
12
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: Commissioner Moore, let
13
         me just ask, do we have -- do you have substantive
         amendments other than the one we've dealt with
14
15
         here?
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: Other than -- other
16
17
         than trying to make sure that the stipulated Order
         of Abatement is included in the Proposed Decision,
18
         to make sure that it's tied very closely with the
19
20
         South Coast District's rules, there are no
         substantive amendments to the Proposed Decision
21
22
         that you saw from me two days ago.
```

23 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay. Then at this
24 time, are there -- is there anybody who would like
25 to comment on this issue, either the Applicant or

```
1 a member of the public?
```

- 2 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: I just have a
- 3 question, Mr. Chairman.
- 4 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Commissioner Pernell.
- 5 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: And that is, I
- 6 know that this -- given the nature of -- of
- 7 peakers, that it's a expedited process, my
- 8 question is whether or not all of the participants
- 9 are aware of what the amendments are, and et
- 10 cetera, so that it -- no one will be, especially,
- I guess, on the Applicant, would be in a position
- 12 not to go forward. At least they would know what
- those amendments are.
- 14 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Well, that's a good
- 15 question. I don't believe that the Applicants
- 16 know that we were making these changes
- 17 specifically, although they certainly heard me in
- 18 the public meeting say that I intended to make the
- 19 decision comply with all the other agencies that
- 20 were involved and their rule set. So the specific
- 21 language, but not the nature of -- of my changes
- 22 are -- are known to them. But perhaps one of -- a
- 23 representative of the Applicant might want to
- 24 address this.
- 25 MR. WEISS: Hi, good morning. My name

```
1
         is Malcolm Weiss. I'm here on behalf of Alliance.
 2
                   We are comfortable that the concepts
         that you have been discussing are appropriate.
 3
         Obviously, we have not seen the exact language,
         but we're -- we're very comfortable with what
         we've seen in the Staff report, and we're
         comfortable with the discussion that we've --
         we've heard this morning.
                   And assuming that those comments are --
         are accurately portrayed into the order, I think
10
         we're okay.
11
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: Mr. Chairman,
12
13
         perhaps what I can do, then, is to make sure that
         ___
14
15
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: Let me -- hold a
16
         second.
17
                   Mr. Larson, how long are we going to
         take on natural gas?
18
                   EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LARSON: As long as
19
```

20 you want. It doesn't have to be --

21 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Forty-five minutes or

22 an hour?

23 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LARSON: Whatever

your time constraints are, we'll fit in.

25 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay. I have a -- what

```
1 I have is a suggestion here. Commissioner Moore,
```

- 2 let's see if this would work.
- We are going to be going into an
- 4 Executive Session, and that should take us about
- 5 15 minutes. We are going to have a -- a natural
- 6 gas briefing in a more informal setting at the
- 7 Commission, and that will take us 45 minutes to an
- 8 hour.
- 9 I would suggest that we reconvene -- we
- 10 complete all our discussion here and reconvene at
- 10 minutes to 12:00 down here for a vote.
- 12 COMMISSIONER MOORE: All right.
- 13 CHAIRMAN KEESE: And that -- we'll
- finish the discussion here, but we'll -- we will
- 15 have our natural gas briefing, we will have our
- 16 Executive Session, and we will be here at 10
- 17 minutes to 12:00, take the vote, and that would
- 18 give any party who wanted to look at the language
- 19 a chance just to see if we have got it close to
- 20 right, and -- and for your Staff and our Staff to
- 21 review it and make sure that we have got it right.
- 22 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Good. Well, I'm
- going to ask Mr. Glaviano to make sure that those
- 24 -- that the revised decision gets down here, and
- is distributed while we're in Executive Session.

```
1
                   Mr. Chairman, I've withdrawn the motion.
 2
         But with your permission, I'd like to introduce
 3
         and be able to comment on my very able Hearing
         Officer, Karl Engeman, if he could stand up and
         just -- Karl stepped into -- into the fray totally
         cold on this, and provided absolutely excellent
         service. And I -- I want to commend him for the
         time and energy that he put in to make this
 9
         decision actually happen.
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you.
10
                   COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Mr. Chairman,
11
12
         just so I can be clear, when we reconvene, we'll
13
         only be voting on Items 1 and 2.
14
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: Correct.
15
                   COMMISSIONER PERNELL: That's fine. I
         do have a -- a very critical appointment to be at
16
17
         at 12:30. But we should be done.
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: We should be.
18
                   Do you still want to recess to Exec
19
20
         Session?
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: Let me -- let me first
21
22
         ask if there's anyone in the audience who cares to
23
         comment on our procedure, on our substance.
24
                   Okay. That -- Mr. Chamberlain, do we
```

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

25

have a $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ do we have a $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ anything other than an

```
1 Executive Session from you? Mr. Larson?
```

- Okay. This is --
- 3 (Inaudible asides.)
- 4 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay. That was my next
- 5 statement.
- 6 We're going to consider this our Public
- 7 Comment period. Is there anyone who has a public
- 8 comment? Mr. Eric Christel. Eric Christen,
- 9 Coalition for Fair Employment? He was here. And
- 10 he wanted to comment.
- Hearing none, what we're going to do,
- 12 then, is recess to the Third Floor Conference
- 13 Room. This is a -- this is a public meeting --
- 14 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Oh, no, we're going
- to Exec Session first.
- 16 CHAIRMAN KEESE: No. We're going to the
- 17 third floor for a briefing from our Staff on -- in
- the generic nature of natural gas, which is --
- involves no issue determination whatsoever, but
- just a briefing. Then we are going to go into
- 21 Executive Session.
- We will return here at exactly 10
- 23 minutes to 12:00. I can tell you I have a
- conference call at 12:00, so we will be out of
- 25 here by 12:00.

1	This meeting is recessed.
2	(Thereupon a recess was taken.)
3	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Mr. Christenman. I'm
4	sorry, is that Christen?
5	MR. CHRISTEN: Christen, that's correct.
6	It's the Swiss pronunciation, not the Swedish.
7	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you.
8	MR. CHRISTEN: Thank you very much. I
9	won't take too much of your time. I apologize for
10	having stepped out of the room at the conclusion
11	of the last portion of the meeting.
12	My name is Eric Christen, I'm the
13	Executive Director of the Coalition for Fair
14	Employment in Construction. We are a statewide
15	organization of merit shops, meaning non-union
16	construction companies, who have become very
17	dismayed at what is occurring in regards to the
18	construction of power plants throughout the state,
19	that being and I realize, I want to preface
20	these comments by understanding that your position
21	as to whether labor on the project is union or
22	non-union, that has nothing to do with how you
23	proceed with a project.
24	Nevertheless, these projects are
25	proceeding under what are known as project labor

```
1
         agreements. These are, for all intents and
 2
         purposes, and implicitly so, union only agreements
         which preclude the majority of the construction
 3
         workforce, which nationwide is over 86 percent
         non-union, from being able to work on these
         projects.
                   Our coalition has been asked to seek
         formal intervening status with the Commission.
                                                         Wе
 9
         are seeking to do so. I wanted to introduce
         ourselves, let you know that our legal counsel
10
         will be moving forward with that. We believe that
11
12
         California needs everyone's help, both within the
13
         state and outside of the state, when it comes to
14
         the construction industry, to get the plants built
15
         that need to be built to power the state
         sufficiently. And that means both union and non-
16
```

And with over 86 percent of the industry non-union throughout the country, we believe that currently many are being excluded from working on those projects, number one.

union workers alike.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, number two, solely through the efforts of CURE these bid processes are being delayed until the owners do, through what we call green mail, submit to a PLA being built on their

```
1 project.
```

- 2 So I just wanted to introduce ourself.
- 3 We will be forwarding you information on our
- 4 coalition and, again, petitioning to become an
- 5 intervenor. And I thank you for your time.
- 6 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. And thank
- 7 you for timing yourself perfectly, because I see
- 8 Commissioner Pernell walking in here. And I know
- 9 that we have in front of us the Proposed Decision,
- 10 and I know we have the motions in front of us.
- 11 So let's -- counsel, are we in good
- shape now? I'm looking at Alliance Century Energy
- 13 Facility.
- 14 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: There were
- 15 actually two requests. We are only --
- 16 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Right. I'm looking at
- 17 one here. I have in front of me, and I believe
- 18 the Applicant has seen the Alliance Colton. And
- 19 I'm going to ask the Applicant if this is an
- appropriate order now.
- 21 MR. WEISS: Let me -- if I may, just
- defer to Mr. Kennedy for two seconds, to make a
- 23 couple of clarifications.
- 24 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Sure.
- 25 MR. KENNEDY: The Staff has also had a

1 chance to review the revisions on the Century

- 2 proposal, and just noted a few relatively minor
- 3 points.
- 4 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Well, let's put them on
- 5 the record.
- MR. KENNEDY: Yeah.
- 7 CHAIRMAN KEESE: We're dealing with the
- 8 -- we're dealing with the document that we all
- 9 have in front of us here.
- 10 MR. KENNEDY: That's right. On page 11
- 11 of the document, there is language inserted at the
- 12 bottom of that page that's -- actually, part of
- 13 the language that's inserted, starting with
- 14 construction will begin upon issuance, that
- 15 actually serves as a substitute for a sentence in
- the third line of that same paragraph.
- So the Staff would recommend that in the
- 18 final version of this, that the, under term of --
- 19 the third line under the term of certification,
- 20 the sentence starting there, construction will
- 21 begin, be deleted, in favor of the language that's
- 22 being inserted at the bottom of -- of that
- paragraph.
- 24 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Wait a minute,
- 25 I'm --

```
1 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: I couldn't
```

- 2 follow it. Sorry.
- MR. KENNEDY: Okay.
- 4 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Page 11, at the
- 5 bottom, under the --
- 6 CHAIRMAN KEESE: We have some new
- 7 language, is what you're saying.
- 8 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: -- where there's
- 9 underlined, that's where we're at?
- MR. KENNEDY: That's right.
- 11 CHAIRMAN KEESE: And that replaces --
- MR. KENNEDY: That -- the language there
- 13 that's inserted replaces the sentence on the third
- line of that same paragraph that starts,
- 15 construction will begin upon issuance.
- 16 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Okay.
- MR. KENNEDY: Okay.
- 18 CHAIRMAN KEESE: So that whole sentence
- 19 goes out.
- MR. KENNEDY: Staff would recommend
- 21 deleting that -- that sentence from the third line
- of the paragraph, in favor of the language --
- 23 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Ending with air
- 24 district.
- MR. KENNEDY: That's correct. There is

```
one clarification in terms of the inserted
```

- 2 language at -- on the very last line of the page,
- 3 where it says, a letter from the USEPA. The
- 4 proper terminology there actually should be an
- 5 administrative order on consent from the USEPA.
- 6 CHAIRMAN KEESE: An --
- 7 MR. KENNEDY: An administrative order on
- 8 consent.
- 9 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Consent?
- MR. KENNEDY: Yes, that's correct.
- 11 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Order on intent, I
- 12 think is --
- 13 MR. KENNEDY: On consent. Consent, c-o-
- n-s-e-n-t.
- 15 CHAIRMAN KEESE: And that's subsuming
- 16 for --
- 17 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: A letter from.
- MR. KENNEDY: A letter.
- 19 CHAIRMAN KEESE: A letter. Okay. Just
- 20 --
- MR. KENNEDY: As opposed to a letter
- 22 from the USEPA.
- 23 CHAIRMAN KEESE: -- the two words, a
- 24 letter. All right.
- MR. KENNEDY: On page 12, the point

```
1 three, if you see the paragraph, initial point
```

- 2 three was deleted. Most of the language at that
- 3 point actually is still there, and then the new
- 4 paragraph three that's insertion, all of that
- 5 actually should be a single paragraph. So that's
- 6 actually just a typographical. There is no change
- 7 in content or intent from what's shown here.
- 8 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay. So we should --
- 9 you're going to leave the first three in, and take
- 10 the second three out.
- 11 MR. KENNEDY: That's right. And the --
- 12 where it starts, CARB if temporary ERCs were used,
- 13 that's actually a continuation of the sentence.
- 14 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Continuation of the
- 15 first paragraph. Okay.
- 16 MR. KENNEDY: And the only other thing
- 17 that Staff noted is on point six, on the -- the
- 18 first point six on the following page, at the top
- of page 13. Best Available Control Technology,
- 20 the best actually should be capitalized, that --
- that change should not be made.
- 22 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay.
- 23 (Inaudible asides.)
- MR. WEISS: With those changes the
- document is fine with the Applicant.

```
1 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Question, Mr.
```

- 2 Chairman.
- 3 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Commissioner Pernell.
- 4 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: We took out a
- 5 provision that lets me know when construction's
- 6 going to begin. So when is -- is there a
- 7 replacement for that, and when is construction
- 8 scheduled to begin?
- 9 MR. KENNEDY: Staff's understanding is
- 10 that the Applicant is hoping to begin construction
- as soon as possible, as soon as the Commission
- 12 decision is finalized, that all of the pre-
- 13 constructions conditions are satisfied, and the
- 14 letter to that effect is received from the
- 15 construction project manager and the
- 16 administrative order on consent is received from
- 17 the USEPA.
- 18 My -- my expectation would be that they
- 19 would -- they are looking to start within the next
- few days.
- 21 MR. WEISS: That's correct. And perhaps
- 22 as soon as tomorrow, if the last of these
- logistics are resolved.
- 24 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Okay. And this
- is scheduled to be online by --

```
1
                   MR. KENNEDY: August 1st.
 2
                   COMMISSIONER PERNELL: -- August 1st.
                   No further questions, Mr. Chairman.
 3
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. So we have
         this all appropriately amended and corrected all
         Commissioner Moore's errors, and --
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: Yeah, they -- they
 8
         were.
 9
                   (Laughter.)
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: -- and we're ready to
10
11
         roll.
12
                   And we have the motion before us.
13
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: We have a motion,
         all right. Both -- both cases are -- we have
14
15
         copies of Drews out, and the copies of amended --
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: Commissioner Moore, we
16
17
         have -- we have made clarifying amendments on page
         11, and we have --
18
19
                   COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Of Century.
20
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: Of Century. We have --
         under time of certification, if you're reading, we
21
22
        have stricken the third and most of the fourth
23
         lines, because they're redundant and --
24
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: Right.
25
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: -- and were repeated
```

```
1 later. We have clarified in the last line on that
```

- 2 page, it says a letter from the USEPA. We now say
- 3 an administrative order on consent from the USEPA.
- We have made a typographical change on page 12,
- 5 and we have, on page 13, under Item 6, capitalized
- 6 Best.
- 7 And with those changes, Staff and
- 8 Applicant indicate that this reflects the -- what
- 9 we're talking about.
- 10 COMMISSIONER MOORE: We have a motion on
- the floor for Century?
- 12 CHAIRMAN KEESE: And -- and I'm willing
- 13 to -- I'm willing to coordinate the two, because
- 14 --
- 15 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Yeah. They --
- 16 CHAIRMAN KEESE: -- as I understand, the
- 17 --
- 18 COMMISSIONER MOORE: -- the motions were
- 19 --
- 20 CHAIRMAN KEESE: -- the changes that
- we're making on Century -- actually, both of --
- 22 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Perhaps we should
- 23 do them one at a time.
- 24 COMMISSIONER MOORE: They'll be
- 25 reflected in Drews, as well, and that is coming --

```
1 it's in the copy machine literally, right now.
```

- 2 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay. So we will --
- 3 what we have before us is Alliance Century, and we
- 4 are going to do the same amendments for Alliance
- 5 Drews.
- 6 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Correct.
- 7 CHAIRMAN KEESE: As amended, both of
- 8 those documents are before us.
- 9 Do we have any further comments?
- 10 MR. WEISS: One other comment, and it's
- on page 11 of the Drews document.
- 12 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Of the Drews?
- MR. WEISS: Sorry, of the Century --
- 14 COMMISSIONER MOORE: You don't have
- Drews in front of you.
- 16 MR. WEISS: I'm sorry, it's the Century
- document. You're right.
- 18 And the recommendation of the latest
- version of the Century document, that paragraph
- 20 was stricken, on what I was just handed.
- 21 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Right.
- 22 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Well, I'm sorry.
- 23 Commissioner Moore, did you bring us additional
- 24 changes?
- 25 MR. KENNEDY: Oh, it's been moved to

```
1 page 14.
```

- 2 COMMISSIONER MOORE: It's just moved.
- MR. WEISS: Okay.
- 4 COMMISSIONER MOORE: The language has
- 5 just been moved. Yes.
- 6 Yes, Mr. Chairman, you do have a
- 7 modified, and now Mr. Glaviano is handing out the
- 8 final modified Drews.
- 9 CHAIRMAN KEESE: And the changes in this
- 10 are what?
- 11 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Exactly the same --
- 12 CHAIRMAN KEESE: That we were talking
- 13 about.
- 14 COMMISSIONER MOORE: -- for Century and
- for Drews.
- 16 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay. And -- and we
- have done the edits, and we will do the same edits
- to these documents.
- 19 COMMISSIONER MOORE: That's correct.
- 20 CHAIRMAN KEESE: All right. Everybody
- ready for the vote?
- 22 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Yes, I'm ready.
- 23 CHAIRMAN KEESE: All in favor.
- 24 COMMISSION STAFF: Commissioner Moore
- 25 withdrew his --

```
1 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Original motion.
```

- 2 You have a new motion on both --
- 3 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: No, no. We don't
- 4 have a new motion.
- 5 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD:: No, you
- 6 withdrew it, Michal.
- 7 COMMISSIONER MOORE: All right. I
- 8 apologize.
- 9 Let me, Mr. Chairman --
- 10 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay. We're going to
- 11 let Commissioner Moore make one more motion.
- 12 COMMISSIONER MOORE: All right. I'll --
- 13 I'll make a motion to approve the Alliance Drews
- 14 and the Alliance Century decisions that are before
- 15 you, Docket 01-EP-4 and 01-EP-5, as amended, the
- 16 strikeout versions that have been handed out to
- 17 Applicant and to the Commissioners.
- 18 CHAIRMAN KEESE: With the --
- 19 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Second.
- 20 CHAIRMAN KEESE: -- three -- with the
- 21 editorial --
- 22 COMMISSIONER MOORE: With the editorial
- changes in the --
- 24 CHAIRMAN KEESE: -- and other amendments
- we have just discussed, which will be placed in

```
1 both documents.
```

- 2 COMMISSIONER MOORE: They will be -- you
- 3 have before you both amended versions that are the
- 4 strikeout versions.
- 5 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you.
- 6 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: The move, and
- 7 second.
- 8 CHAIRMAN KEESE: And that was -- the
- 9 motion by Commissioner Moore and second by
- 10 Commissioner Rosenfeld.
- 11 All in favor.
- 12 (Ayes.)
- 13 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Opposed.
- Mr. Kennedy.
- MR. KENNEDY: I am in the process of
- 16 quickly looking through the Drews revised that is
- in front of us, and the -- on page 13 of that
- 18 revised Proposed Decision, there remains a
- 19 condition on BACT standards that I believe in
- 20 Century had been stricken in favor of a similar
- 21 condition, AQ-3, in the Staff Assessment.
- I suspect that that was intended to be
- 23 stricken from this, as well, in favor of Condition
- 24 AQ-3 in the Staff Assessment for the Drews.
- 25 COMMISSIONER MOORE: It was intended to

```
1
        be Condition AQ-3.
 2
                  MR. KENNEDY: So on page 13 of the
         proposed Drews decision, the BACT standards
 3
         language --
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: Well, actually, you
 5
         know, that's --
                   MR. KENNEDY: -- that paragraph --
 8
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: -- it's redundant,
 9
         in any case. So it doesn't have to be amended. I
10
         mean, as long as it includes AQ-3, this doesn't
11
         preclude Condition AQ-3 from happening.
12
                   MR. KENNEDY: The language is different
13
         in terms of the -- AQ-3 was written to accommodate
         the initial operation this summer at --
14
15
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: At 25 ppm.
                   MR. KENNEDY: -- at 25 ppm. This
16
17
         language was not --
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: All right. Well,
18
         then -- then that's my error. I -- and I
19
20
         indicate, and the motion should have indicated
21
        that these should be consistent, and that --
22
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: We'll withdraw --
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: -- that condition
23
24
        should --
```

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

CHAIRMAN KEESE: -- we'll withdraw our

25

```
1 vote there.
```

- 2 COMMISSIONER MOORE: -- reflect AQ-3.
- 3 CHAIRMAN KEESE: We'll withdraw the
- 4 previous vote.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Well, I amend the
- 6 motion --
- 7 CHAIRMAN KEESE: And -- and we're going
- 8 to let Commissioner Moore state it again.
- 9 COMMISSIONER MOORE: All right. I move
- that both items, Docket EP-4 and Docket EP-5, 01-
- 11 EP-4, 01-EP-5, be adopted, and the 01-EP-5
- 12 Alliance Drews condition on BACT standards reflect
- 13 the AQ-3 Condition that's contained in the Staff
- 14 recommendation, as amended.
- 15 CHAIRMAN KEESE: And that does include
- 16 the --
- 17 COMMISSIONER MOORE: With all the other
- 18 changes that were -- that were discussed --
- 19 CHAIRMAN KEESE: -- the changes that
- were discussed here in public.
- 21 COMMISSIONER MOORE: -- and are included
- in the strikeout version.
- 23 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you.
- We have a motion and a second.
- 25 All in favor.

1	(Ayes.)	
2	CHAIRMAN KEESE:	Opposed.
3	Adopted, four to	nothing.
4	We're done.	
5	Thank you. This	meeting is adjourned.
6	(Thereupon the Bu	usiness Meeting
7	was concluded at	12:06 p.m.)
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, VALORIE PHILLIPS, an Electronic

Reporter, do hereby certify that I am a

disinterested person herein; that I recorded the

foregoing California Energy Commission Business

Meeting; that it was thereafter transcribed into

typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said Meeting, nor in any way interested in the outcome of said Meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 7th day of May, 2001.

VALORIE PHILLIPS